1	LAW OFFICE OF ERIC I. MICHELMAN Eric I. Michelman, Bar No. 145017						
2	2301 Dupont Drive, Suite 530 Irvine, CA 92612	08 JUN 12 AM 10: 19					
3	Telephone: (949) 553-1800 Facsimile: (949) 553-1880	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT					
4	email: ericmichelman@lawfirmofbusiness.co	OM					
5	Attorney for Plaintiff A WALL STREET FUND I, LTD.,	GY DEPUTY					
6	a Florida limited partnership						
7							
8	IN THE UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	FOR THE SOUTHER DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10							
11		200 CV 4 0 // 2 4 4840					
12	A WALL STREET FUND I, LTD., a Florida limited partnership	Case No. 208 CV 1042 J JMA					
13	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT					
14	VS.)					
15	Plaintiff, Vs. Plaintiff, COMPLAINT [DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL] IDEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL] (1) RECISSION OF CONTRACT DUE TO FRAUD L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, NEW WORLD CAPITAL EQUITY FUND, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, NEW WORLD CAPITAL MANAGEMENT. (2) SECURITIES FRAUD- 15 USC §78j						
16	FUND, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, NEW WORLD CAPITAL EQUITY FUND						
17	L.P., a Delaware limited partnership.	(2) SECURITIES FRAUD- 15 USC §78j					
18 19	LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, GREGORY DURAN, an individual;	(3) CONTROLLING PERSON LIABILITY 15 USC §78t					
	and DOES 1-10;) (4) SECURITIES FRAUD - VIOLATION					
20	Defendants.	OF CALIFORNIA CORPORATE SECURITIES LAW SECTION 25401					
21		(25501)					
22		(5) SECURITIES FRAUD -					
23		CONTROLLING PERSONS LIABILITY PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA					
24		CORPORATE SECURITIES LAW SECTION 25504					
25		(6) SECURITIES FRAUD - AIDING AND					
26		ABETTING LIABILITY PURSUANT					
27		TO CALIFORNIA CORPORATE SECURITIES LAW SECTION 25504.1					
28		(7) BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY					
20		(8) CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUD					

COMPLAINT

10 11

12

13

9

1415

17

16

18

20

21

19

2223

24

2526

27

28 | ///

- (9) BREACH OF CONTRACT
- (10) NEGLIGENCE
- (11) NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION
- (12) CONVERSION

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is an action based upon the sudden and massive loss of an investor's entire investment in two purported hedge funds. This case seeks the recovery of moneys allegedly negligently handled and otherwise converted by one or more of the defendants, including the individual named defendant, and who made material misrepresentations regarding historical performance of the hedge funds and disregarded all due care and fiduciary duties owed to the Plaintiff investor. Defendants also subsequently made false and misleading statements in the attempt to cover-up the deception.
- 2. Based upon the improper conduct of the Defendants, the Plaintiff seeks the remedies of rescission of its investments, damages, attorneys' fees, and costs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, as the parties are diverse citizens and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00.
- 4. This action is also based on federal securities violations and pendant state law causes of action. Since this case involves questions of federal law, this Court has jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331;
- 5. Venue lies in this district by virtue of Title 28, U.S.C. Section 1391(b)(2) in that a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred in the Southern District of California.

,,,

8

13

11

19

23

21

28

26

THE PARTIES

Filed 06/12/2008

- 6. A Wall Street Fund I, Ltd., is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a Florida limited partnership, with its principal place of business in San Diego, California ("Plaintiff").
- 7. Defendant NEW WORLD CAPITAL CURRENCY FUND, L.P., ("CURRENCY FUND"), is and at all times relevant hereto was, a limited partnership organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Santa Fe, New Mexico.
- 8. Defendant NEW WORLD CAPITAL EQUITY FUND, L.P., ("EQUITY FUND"), is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a limited partnership organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Santa Fe, New Mexico.
- 9. Defendant NEW WORLD CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC, ("CAPITAL MANAGEMENT"), is and at all times relevant hereto was, a limited liability company organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Santa Fe, New Mexico.
- 10. Defendant CAPITAL MANAGEMENT is, and at all times herein was the general partner of each of CURRENCY FUND and EQUITY FUND.
- 11. Defendants CURRENCY FUND and EQUITY FUND are hereinafter collectively referred to as the "NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS".
- 12. Defendant GREGORY DURAN, is an individual, and on information and belief is, and at all times relevant hereto was, residing in the State of New Mexico.
- 13. Defendant GREGORY DURAN, is, and at all times relevant hereto was, the Chief Executive Officer of CAPITAL MANAGEMENT.
- 14. Defendants CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS and GREGORY DURAN and Does 1-10 are hereinafter collectively referred to as the "NEW WORLD DEFENDANTS".
- 15. Plaintiff is unaware of the true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, partnership, associate or otherwise, of defendant Does 1 through 10 and has

therefore named said defendants by the foregoing fictitious names. Each fictitiously named Defendant is legally responsible in some manner and to some degree for the acts alleged herein, and has proximately caused or contributed to the injuries and damages alleged herein. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint to show the true names and capacities of such fictitiously named defendants when the same have been ascertained.

- 16. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that in committing the acts and failing to act as herein alleged, Defendants, and each of them, were the agents, servants, joint venturers and/or employees of each other and that, at all relevant times, each such Defendant was acting within the course and scope of said agency, joint venture and employment. Each Defendant authorized and ratified the acts of the remaining Defendants and of their agents, servants, joint venturers and employees.
- 17. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned, Defendants, and each of them, were and remain the alter-egos of each other; that said Defendants did and still do dominate, influence and control each other; that there existed and still exists a unity of ownership between them; that the individuality and separateness of each entity Defendant was and remains non-existent; that each such entity was and remains a mere shell and naked framework which the other Defendants used and still use to conduct their business affairs; that each such entity is and remains inadequately capitalized; and that an injustice and fraud upon Plaintiff will result if the theoretical separateness of such Defendants is not disregarded and each such Defendant held liable for all relief being sought herein.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

In or about the Fall of 2007, Plaintiff, acting by and through Thomas G.

18.

Buckley, its general partner ("Buckley"), investigated investment opportunities.

- 19. Plaintiff first learned of the CURRENCY FUND and EQUITY FUND through Hedgefund.net, an internet based web site.

20. Plaintiff reviewed and analyzed the historical performance, investment

economics and summary information regarding the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS which was posted on Hedgefund.net.

- 21. On information and belief, the information posted on Hedgefund.net was provided to Hedgefund.net by the Defendants.
- 22. The historical performance information indicated, among other information, that the EQUITY FUND was in existence since January, 2003 and had generated annual returns of 65.36% for 2003, 63.79% for 2004, 45.56% for 2005, 78.15% for 2006 and approximately 59% for the 10 months ending October, 2007.
- 23. The historical performance information for the CURRENCY FUND indicated, among other information, that the CURRENCY FUND was in existence for more than three years, and had generated total returns of approximately 570%, and annual returns of 36.37% for 2005, 64.48% for 2006, and approximately 191% for the 10 months ending October, 2007.
- 24. The investment economics and summary information regarding the NEW CURRENCY FUND described the investment strategy to include, among other items, that "no more than 3% percent of capital allocated per idea with 3:1 risk to reward ratio with 1.1. to 4.1 leverage....No more than 3% percent of capital risked per trade with 100:1 leverage with 3:1 risk to reward ratio."
- 25. Plaintiff further investigated the CURRENCY FUND and EQUITY FUND via telephone conversation between Buckley and Defendant Duran in or about November, 2007. Defendant Duran identified himself as the fund manager for the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS.
- 26. Pursuant to a number of subsequent telephone conversations and e-mails during November, 2007, between Buckley on behalf of Plaintiff, while at the Plaintiff's office in San Diego County, California, and Defendant Duran and other personnel in Santa Fe, New Mexico, Plaintiff was provided with a variety of written and oral information regarding the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS.
 - 27. The written information provided to Plaintiff included two Confidential

Offering Memoranda, one for the CURRENCY	FUND, and a second for the EQUITY
FUND (the "Offering Memoranda").	
•	

- 28. The Offering Memoranda provided that the Plaintiff's funds would, among other things,:
- (i) be pooled with funds from other investors separately in each of the EQUITY FUND and the CURRENCY FUND;
- (ii) be deposited in segregated accounts for each of the EQUITY FUND and the CURRENCY FUND;
- (iii) with respect to the EQUITY FUND, be used to achieve positive absolute returns while preserving principal and avoiding excess risk;
- (iv) with respect to the EQUITY FUND, be invested with such pooled funds in "8 to 33 positions depending on market conditions...";
- (v) with respect to the EQUITY FUND, be limited to no more than 10-20% to be used as collateral in connection with selling securities short;
- (vi) be accounted for and valued on the last day of a fiscal quarter, including but not limited to December 31, 2007 and March 31, 2008;
- (vii) be subject to audit as part of the audited financial statements of each of the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS, to be delivered to Plaintiff annually;
- (viii) be part of the quarterly financial statement of each of the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS to be delivered to the Plaintiff quarterly;
- (ix) be the subject of monthly Performance and Capital Summary Reports and Partner Interest Appraisal Reports each of which was to be delivered to the Plaintiff.
- 29. Plaintiff made its investments in the CURRENCY FUND and the EQUITY FUND on or about November 30, 2007 by wiring \$750,000 to Account No. 004390119034 at Bank of America, 2308 Cerrillos, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505, designating that \$500,000 be invested in the CURRENCY FUND and \$250,000 be invested in the EQUITY FUND.
 - 30. As a result of making the investments and subscribing to become a limited

26

27

28

partner, Plaintiff became a limited partner of each of the CURRENCY FUND and the EQUITY FUND on or about December 1, 2007.

- 31. From and after December 1, 2007, Plaintiff never received any report indicating the value of its investments, or the gain or loss of its investment, other than an informal email on December 27, 2007 which stated in part, "The returns for December have been good, considerably....The equity portfolio could manage around a 2.5%+- return.....In terms of the currency side, we should have a 1.23%+- return."
- 32. From and after December 1, 2007, Plaintiff never received any report indicating the nature or amount of the underlying assets in which its funds were invested in the CURRENCY FUND or the EQUITY FUND other than an informal email on January 23, 2008 email which is described in Paragraphs 35 and 36 hereinbelow.
- 33. From and after December 1, 2007, Plaintiff never received any report indicating the liquidity of its investments.
- 34. From and after December 1, 2007, Plaintiff never received any report indicating the location of any financial institution which held the investments of the CURRENCY FUND or the EQUITY FUND.
- On or about January 23, 2008, Defendant Duran sent an e-mail to Plaintiff (the 35. "January 23, 2008 email") which responded to an email from Plaintiff, the latter of which inquired as to the status of the December, 2007 returns on Plaintiff's investments.
- Defendant Duran's January 23, 2008 email stated that "for the last month and a 36. half, we have owned only one position. PSQ, which is short the tech laden QQQ."
- In fact, at December 1, 2007, the PSQ had a value of approximately \$54.06 per 37. share.
 - 38. In fact, at January 23, 2008 the PSQ had a value of \$63.00 per share.
- 39. In fact, during the period December 1, 2007 through December 31, the PSQ never traded below \$51.94, and from and after January 1, 2008 through April, 2008 and all times thereafter which is relevant hereto, the PSQ traded above \$54.06.
 - On or about February 21, 2008, Plaintiff delivered to the Defendants a written 40.

28

request for a full redemption of its investment in the EQUITY FUND.

- 41. On or about March 7, 2008, Defendant Duran sent an email to Plaintiff stating that "We will be sending those funds at the end of next week".
- 42. On or about March 15, 2008 Defendant Duran sent another email to Plaintiff stating, in part, "We felt it is best for our clients and the timing of the funds closing, to be on our ending of our fiscal quarter, which is the end of March, at which time assets from the fund will be dispersed or converted to the currency fund."
- 43. At or about March 31, 2008, and all times prior and thereafter, Plaintiff never received any funds from any of the NEW WORLD DEFENDANTS with respect to Plaintiff's investment in the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS or otherwise.
- 44. On or about April 11, 2008, Plaintiff received a letter from CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" (the "April 11, 2008 Letter") which states as its first sentence: "Trading losses have rendered New World Capital Currency Fund, LP and New World Capital Equity Fund LP insolvent."
- 45. The April 11, 2008 Letter also stated: "Also, we want to apologize for not speaking with you directly and ask that you forgive the fact that our first communication is this letter".
- 46. At no time has Plaintiff received any tax information relating to its partnership interests in EQUITY FUND or CURRENCY FUND.
- 47. To date, Plaintiff has never received any accounting of his invested funds with any of the NEW WORLD DEFENDANTS other than a purported performance posting on hedgefund.net.
- 48. The NEW WORLD DEFENDANTS and Defendant Duran failed to disclose in the March 7, 2008 email and in the March 15, 2008 email or in any other correspondence the purported losses and/or value of the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS, and thereby induced the Plaintiff to not seek earlier redemption of its investments in the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS.
 - 49. Unbeknownst to Plaintiff, and notwithstanding the five and three year historical

performance information provided by, and for, each of the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS, respectively (as described in Paras. 22 and 23 above), according to the records of the Delaware Secretary of State, the date of organization of the EQUITY FUND was July 10, 2007, the date of organization of the CURRENCY FUND was September 19, 2007, and the date of organization of the CAPITAL MANAGEMENT was July 31, 2007, all such dates being within five (5) months of Plaintiff's investment in the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(As against all Defendants and DOES 1-10)

Fraudulent Inducement and Rescission

- 50. Plaintiff hereby repeats and religious each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 49 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein as though fully set forth herein.
- 51. Prior to the Plaintiff investing in the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS, and with the intent to induce Plaintiff to make such investments, The NEW WORLD DEFENDANTS knowingly made certain false material representations to the Plaintiff including those set forth in Paragraphs 22 through 24, and 28 above regarding the historical returns on invested capital in the three and five years prior to the creation of the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS, the investment strategy of the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS and the making of periodic reports of the performance of the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS investment returns and values. Each NEW WORLD HEDGE FUND Defendant knew, or reasonably should have known, that such representations were false.
- 52. Having first made selective and partial disclosures to the Plaintiff, The NEW WORLD DEFENDANTS, were under a duty to make full disclosure and speak the whole truth and to not partially suppress or conceal any material facts. Prior to Plaintiff's investment in the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS, and to induce the Plaintiff to make such

6

16

14

20

investment, the NEW WORLD DEFENDANTS concealed from Plaintiff certain material facts to wit that the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS were only recently organized and existed for only a few months, and consequently, all historical performance information prior to July, 2007 with respect to the EQUITY FUND, and September, 2007 with respect to the CURRENCY FUND was fictitious.

- 53. The NEW WORLD DEFENDANTS knowingly made those false representations and concealments at the time they were made for the purpose of inducing Plaintiff to invest in the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS, and intended Plaintiff to rely upon them.
- 54. Plaintiff did rely on those false representations and concealments, and that reliance was to Plaintiff's detriment, and that reliance was reasonable.
- 55. As a direct and proximate result of the NEW WORLD DEFENDANTS' misrepresentations and concealments, Plaintiff has been tricked and fraudulently induced into purchasing the securities offered and issued by, and thereby investing in the NEW WORLD Hedge Funds which Plaintiff otherwise would not have done, thereby entitling the Plaintiff to rescind the investments in the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS.
- 56. As a direct and proximate result of the NEW WORLD DEFENDANTS' misrepresentations and concealments, Plaintiff has been tricked and fraudulently induced into purchasing the securities offered and issued by the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS which Plaintiff otherwise would not have done, and from which Plaintiff has suffered special damages including (i) \$750,000 and (ii) interest on such sum in the amount of 10% from November 31, 2007, as well as other incidental and consequential expenses and losses, according to proof.
- 57. The false representations and withholding of material information by the Defendants, were oppressive, fraudulent and malicious, committed with a willful, wanton and conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to an award of exemplary damages according to proof.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(As against all Defendants and DOES 1-10)

Damages Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §78j(b) and

17 C.F.R. §240.10b-5

(Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended)

- 58. Plaintiff hereby repeats and religious each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 57 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein as though fully set forth herein.
- 59. From in or about November 2007, in Orange County, California and elsewhere, Defendants, willfully, and knowingly, directly and indirectly, by the use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce and of the mails, and of facilities of national securities exchanges, used and employed, in connection with the Plaintiff's purchase and sale of securities in the form of limited partnership interests in the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS, manipulative and deceptive devices and contrivances in violation of Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section240.10b-5 by (a) employing devices, schemes and artifices to defraud; (b) making untrue statements of material fact and omitting to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and © engaging in acts, practices and courses of business which operated and would operate as a fraud and deceit upon Plaintiff.
- 60. Individually and in concert, directly and indirectly, by the use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including but not limited to the telephone lines, fax lines, electronic mail transmitted through the Internet, and/or of the U.S. Mail, the Defendants engaged and participated in a continuous course of conduct with actual knowledge of the misrepresentations and omissions of material facts, or acted with reckless disregard, or deliberate recklessness, for the truth in that they failed to ascertain and to disclose such material information regarding: (i) the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS were only recently organized and in existence for just a few months and could not have had five and three year historical performance track record; (ii) the historical and performance

information was fiction; and (iii) the use of Plaintiff's investment proceeds from investor
into the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS.

- 61. The Defendants course of conduct as alleged herein in this Complaint was undertaken with the intent to induce the Plaintiff to purchase securities in the form of limited partnership interests by investing money with the NEW WORLD DEFENDANTS with the Plaintiff's expectation of financial returns from the investment of pooled funds in the securities and currency markets. In fact, the Plaintiff did invest an original aggregate amount of \$750,000 with the NEW WORLD DEFENDANTS
- 62. Plaintiff relied on the oral and written statements of the NEW WORLD DEFENDANTS as stated in Paragraphs 22 through 24 and 28. Plaintiff relied on the misrepresentations and omissions of material facts suppressed by Defendants regarding the truth of the matters set forth in such Paragraphs 22 through 24 and 28.
- 63. Plaintiff's reliance was justified because Plaintiff had no reason to believe otherwise.
- 64. By virtue of the foregoing, Defendants have violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act, and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder.
- 65. Plaintiff has suffered damages including (i) \$750,000 and (ii) interest on such sum in the amount of 10% from November 30, 2007, as well as other incidental and consequential expenses and losses, according to proof.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(As against Defendant DURAN and DOES 1-10)

Damages Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §78t(a)

(Section 20(a)of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended)

Controlling Person Liability

66. Plaintiff hereby repeats and religious each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 65 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein as though fully set

forth herein.

- 67. Defendant Duran as the controlling person of CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, of the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS, had the power to, and in fact did, control or influence the actions of the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS and CAPITAL MANAGEMENT.
- 68. Defendant CAPITAL MANAGEMENT as the controlling person of the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS, had the power to, and in fact did, control or influence the actions of the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS.
- 69. Defendants Duran and CAPITAL MANAGEMENT were culpable participants with respect to structuring, creating, authorizing, cooperating, participating and effecting the organization of the such entities and the misfeasance, nonfeasance and malfeasance associated with taking, diverting and converting Plaintiff's funds under the guise such funds would be invested in the currency and securities markets for financial gain of the Plaintiff. The actions of Defendant Duran included, but were not limited to, involvement with the drafting and preparing the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS Offering Memoranda, and the false information posted on Hedgefund.net, and soliciting the funds of Plaintiff, and therefore Duran and CAPITAL MANAGEMENT is legally responsible, and jointly responsible, and jointly and severally liable, for and obligated in respect of all damages incurred by the Plaintiff in connection with all written communication which includes false, misleading and omitted information in violation of Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- 70. As the direct and proximate result and cause of such actions and inactions by the Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered damages including (i) \$750,000 and (ii) interest on such sum in the amount of 10% from November 30, 2008, as well as other incidental and consequential expenses and losses, according to proof.

| ///

///

///

2 3

4

5

6

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

///

///

///

///

25

26

27

28 ///

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(As Against All Defendants and DOES 1-10)

Damages Pursuant to Section 25501 for Violation of Section 25401 of the California Corporate Securities Law of 1968

- 71. Plaintiff hereby repeats and religious each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 70 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein as though fully set forth herein.
- 72. The NEW WORLD DEFENDANTS made, directly and indirectly, false and misleading statements and omitted to make statements necessary in order to make the statements that were made by the Defendants not misleading as alleged in this Complaint with respect to the true material facts as set forth in Paragraph 22 through 24 and 28.
- The false and misleading statements and omissions were made by the NEW 73. WORLD DEFENDANTS by means of a prospectus as alleged hereinabove in the form of (i) the Offering Memoranda and (ii) written disclosures in the form of information posted by the Defendants on Hedgefund.net which included the fictitious historical performance information, and (ii) oral communications by Defendant Duran to the Plaintiff as to the NEW WORLD DEFENDANT's business plan, historical investment performance and investment activity.
- 74. As the direct and proximate result and cause of such false and misleading statements and omissions by the Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered damages including (i) \$750,000 and (ii) interest on such sum in the amount of 10% from November 30, 2007, as well as other incidental and consequential expenses and losses, according to proof.

3

5

6

7

10

11

9

12 13

1415

16 17

18

19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(As Against all Defendant Duran and DOES 1-10)

Joint and Several Liability Pursuant to Section 25504

for Violation of Section 25401 of the California Corporate Securities Law of 1968

- 75. Plaintiff hereby repeats and religious each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 74 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein as though fully set forth herein.
- 76. As the controlling persons of Defendants NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS and CAPITAL MANAGEMENT pursuant to California Corporation Code Section 25504, Defendants CAPITAL MANAGEMENT and Duran, respectively, had the power to, and in fact did, control or influence the actions of Defendants NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS and CAPITAL MANAGEMENT and was a culpable participant with respect to structuring, creating, authorizing, cooperating, participating and effecting the organization of the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS and the misfeasance, nonfeasance and malfeasance associated with taking, diverting and converting Plaintiff's funds under the guise such funds would be invested in the currency and securities markets for financial gain of the Plaintiff. The actions of Defendants Duran and CAPITAL MANAGEMENT include, but not limited to, involvement in the preparation of the Offering Memoranda and false investment performance summaries and soliciting the funds of Plaintiff, and therefore are legally responsible, and jointly responsible, and jointly and severally liable, for and obligated in respect of all damages incurred by the Plaintiff in connection with all written communication which includes false, misleading and omitted information in violation of Section 25401 of the California Corporate Securities Law of 1968.
- 77. As the direct and proximate result and cause of such false and misleading statements and omissions by the Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered damages including (i) \$750,000 and (ii) interest on such sum in the amount of 10% from November 30, 2007, as well as other incidental and consequential expenses and losses, according to proof.

1
1

4

5 6

8 9

7

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(As Against All Defendants and DOES 1-10)

Joint and Several Liability Pursuant to Section 25504.1

for Violation of Section 25401 of the California Corporate Securities Law of 1968

- 78. Plaintiff hereby repeats and religious each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 77 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein as though fully set forth herein.
- 79. Defendant Duran and DOES 1-10 materially assisted the other named defendants in soliciting Plaintiff's funds, by virtue of their creation, advice, guidance, due diligence and lack thereof, and/or cooperation and involvement with respect to the preparation of the Offering Memoranda, the Hedgefund.net postings and providing other communication.
- 80. As the direct and proximate result and cause of such false and misleading statements and omissions by the Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered damages including (i) \$750,000 and (ii) interest on such sum in the amount of 10% from November 30, 2007, as well as other incidental and consequential expenses and losses, according to proof.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(As against all Defendants and DOES 1-10

Breach of Fiduciary Duty

- 81. Plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 80 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein as though fully set forth herein.
- Upon the Plaintiff purchasing its limited partnership interests in the NEW 82. WORLD HEDGE FUNDS, the Plaintiff became a partner in each of the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS, and all Defendants in their respective capacities of general partner, chief

executive officer of the general partner, and the partnerships, had a fiduciary relationship
with, and owed a fiduciary duty to, the Plaintiff, including without limitation, the duty of
candor and truthfulness requiring under the circumstances, prompt and full disclosure of all
information which the Plaintiff would reasonably need and want to know, including but not
limited to, the value and liquidity of Plaintiff's investment.

- 83. The relationship of the parties gave discretionary authority to the Defendants with respect to Plaintiff's invested funds. Having full discretion and control over Plaintiff's funds, the Defendants had the obligation and duty to the Plaintiff to manage and protect the invested funds entrusted to such Defendants. Defendants Duran and CAPITAL MANAGEMENT were responsible to, among other items:
 - (i) safeguard the Plaintiff funds by segregating such funds;
- (ii) protect the Plaintiff funds by not diverting or converting such funds for any purpose other than investing in the foreign currency and securities markets, respectively.
- (iii) track and report the investment activities and funds flow for each NEW WORLD HEDGE FUND:
- (iv) invest the funds in the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS in accordance with the stated terms and objectives as set forth in the Offering Memoranda;
- (v) deal fairly with the entrusted funds by charging fair and reasonable prices associated with the services rendered, if any, on behalf of such funds.
- (vi) applying all prudent controls in a disciplined and consistent manner with respect to items (i) through (v) above (collectively, the "Fiduciary Responsibilities").
- 84. On information and belief, the Defendants breached their fiduciary duties by not fulfilling any of their Fiduciary Responsibilities as set forth in (i) through (vi) solely in their quest to control Plaintiff's funds to generate commissions, fees and otherwise directly or indirectly permit the diversion and/or conversion of the Plaintiff's funds. In so doing, the Defendants placed their financial interests above the interests of the Plaintiff.
- 85. The Defendants, to the exclusion of the Plaintiff, were the only parties in a position to know the status of Plaintiff's funds once invested with the NEW WORLD

27

28

HEDGE FUNDS. In light of this superior knowledge, as well as their agreement to manage
and oversee Plaintiff's funds, the Defendants occupied a position of trust and special
relationship with respect to Plaintiff.

- 86. By their actions and inactions with respect to their Fiduciary Responsibilities, the Defendants breached their fiduciary duties to Plaintiff.
- 87. As the direct and proximate result and cause of the breach, Plaintiff has suffered special damages including (i) \$750,000 and (ii) interest on such sum in the amount of 10% from November 30, 2007, as well as other incidental and consequential expenses and losses, according to proof.
- 88. The breach of the fiduciary duties and the resulting personal gain by Defendants in their capacity as fiduciaries, were oppressive, fraudulent and malicious, committed with recklessness and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to an award of exemplary damages according to proof.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(As against All Defendants and DOES 1-10)

Damages for Constructive Fraud

- 89. Plaintiff hereby repeats and religious each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 88 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein as though fully set forth herein.
- 90. Once the Plaintiff invested in the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS, all Defendants had a fiduciary relationship with, and owed a fiduciary duty to the Plaintiff, including without limitation fulfilling their Fiduciary Responsibilities.
- 91. Upon failure to receive any information regarding the Plaintiff funds, and upon receiving answers that appeared valid as to fund performance and redemption, the Plaintiff justifiably relied on the Defendants to fulfill their Fiduciary Responsibilities to their detriment.

26

27

28

- 92. Plaintiff reliance resulted in Plaintiff not promptly seeking to sell its limited partnership interests and/or withdraw funds immediately before Plaintiff's funds were either lost, diverted or converted, and/or take other action.
- 93. Plaintiff was ignorant of the true nature of the activities of the Defendants and the losses being incurred through either investment losses, diversion or conversion.
- 94. The reliance of the Plaintiff was justified and reasonable in light of the misrepresentations and omissions made to the Plaintiff before Plaintiff became a limited partner in the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS, and the Defendants' withholding of the correct information thereafter.
- 95. Defendants withholding of the correct information under the circumstances was designed to induce the Plaintiff to refrain from objecting to Defendants' conduct so that Defendants could reap the financial interest and benefit which the Defendants gained through fees, and by diverting and converting Plaintiff funds.
- 96. As the direct and proximate result and cause of such false and misleading statements and omissions by the Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered special damages including (i) \$750,000 and (ii) interest on such sum in the amount of 10% from November 30, 2007, as well as other incidental and consequential expenses and losses, according to proof.
- 97. The negligent misrepresentations and withholding of material information by Defendants, were oppressive, fraudulent and malicious, committed with recklessness and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to an award of exemplary damages according to proof.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(As against all Defendants and DOES 1-10)

Damages for Breach of Written Contract

98. Plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 97 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein as though fully set

27

28

forth herein.

- 99. By subscribing to the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS, the Plaintiff and Defendants entered into a written agreement as memorialized by the terms in the Offering Memoranda. The Offering Memoranda provided that the Plaintiff's funds would, among other things,:
 - (i) be pooled with other investors funds in each separate New World Hedge Fund;
 - (ii) be deposited with such pooled funds in accounts segregated from other funds;
- (iii) with respect to the EQUITY FUND, be used to achieve positive absolute returns while preserving principal and avoiding excess risk;
- with respect to the EQUITY FUND, be invested with such pooled funds in "8 (iv) to 33 positions depending on market conditions...";
- with respect to the EQUITY FUND, be limited to no more than 10-20% to be (v) used as collateral in connection with selling securities short;
- (vi) be accounted for and valued on the last day of a fiscal quarter, including but not limited to December 31, 2007 and March 31, 2008;
- be subject to audit as part of the audited financial statements of each of the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS, to be delivered to Plaintiff annually;
- (viii) be part of the quarterly financial statement of each of the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS to be delivered to the Plaintiff quarterly;
- (ix) be the subject of monthly Performance and Capital Summary Reports and Partner Interest Appraisal Reports each of which was to be delivered to the Plaintiff.
- 100. Defendants made further promises in its March 7, 2008 email (See Para 41) and the March 15, 2008 email (See Para 42) to make distributions of at least \$250,000 to the Plaintiff in redemption of its interest in the EQUITY FUND.
- 101. Plaintiff performed all conditions, covenants and promises required by it on its part to be performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the subscription contract by wiring funds into the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS.
 - 102. Defendants breached every covenant set forth in Paragraphs 98 and 99 above.

103.	As a direct and proximate result of said breach, Plaintiff lost Plaintiff's entire
investment in	the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS.

As the direct and proximate result and cause of breach, Plaintiff has suffered special damages including (i) \$750,000 and (ii) interest on such sum in the amount of 10% from November 30, 2007, as well as other incidental and consequential expenses and losses, according to proof.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(As Against All Defendants and DOES 1-10)

Negligence

- Plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in 105. Paragraphs 1 through 103 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein as though fully set forth herein.
- In creating, marketing and operating the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS, all 106. Defendants became Plaintiff's agent and assumed and undertook a duty to exercise reasonable care in handling the Plaintiff's funds so as to ensure that Plaintiff's risks associated with its investment in the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS were minimized and the funds safeguarded from excess risk or conversion.
- 107. The Defendants breached their duty of care to Plaintiff by failing to segregate and protect the Plaintiff funds and allowing such funds to be exposed to excessive risk and, on information and belief, converted by some or all of the NEW WORLD DEFENDANTS including Defendant Duran.
- As the direct and proximate result and cause of breach, Plaintiff has suffered special damages including (i) \$750,000 and (ii) interest on such sum in the amount of 10% from November 30, 2007, as well as other incidental and consequential expenses and losses, according to proof.

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(As Against all Defendants and DOES 1-10

Damages for Negligent Misrepresentation 1

F

///

///

109. Plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 107 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein as though fully set forth herein.

- 110. At the time that the Defendants made the statements set forth in the March 7, 2007 email (See Para 41) and the March 15, 2007 email (See Para 42), such Defendants had no reasonable ground for believing them to be true, and/or failed to exercise reasonable care or competence in making such statements. At that time, Defendants further omitted to disclose material information consisting of the true state of the financial and other affairs of the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS.
- 111. As a direct and proximate result, Plaintiff was induced into not otherwise selling and/or redeeming its limited partnership interests in the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS.
- 112. As the direct and proximate result and cause of such false and misleading statements and omissions by the Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered special damages including (i) \$750,000 and (ii) interest on such sum in the amount of 10% from November 30, 2007, as well as other incidental and consequential expenses and losses, according to proof.
- 113. The negligent misrepresentations and withholding of material information by Defendants, were oppressive, fraudulent and malicious, committed with recklessness and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to an award of exemplary damages according to proof.

⁽Small v. Fritz Companies, Inc., 30 Cal. 4th 167; 65 P.3d 1255 (April 7, 2003) [investors, who were induced to not sell their investment as a result of negligent misrepresentation, have a cause of action].

2

3

5

8

7

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(As Against All Defendants and DOES 1-10)

Damages for Conversion

- Plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 113 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein as though fully set forth herein.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant Duran and the other Defendants 115. misappropriated and converted some or all of Plaintiff's funds which Plaintiff invested in the NEW WORLD HEDGE FUNDS, and thereby breached their fidelity owed to Plaintiff.
- 116. As of the date of Defendant Duran's January 23, 2008 email (See Paras. 35-39), the PSQ securities position in the EQUITY FUND, purportedly constituting the sole asset of the EQUITY FUND, increased in value from it purchase price.
- 117. On information and belief, Defendant Duran and the other Defendants have either retained the assets in the EQUITY FUND for their own use, or have transferred such assets which include Plaintiff's funds to third parties for invalid or no consideration whatsoever.
- As a proximate result of Defendant's misappropriation and conversion, Plaintiff 118. has been improperly deprived of its rights of ownership in such funds.
- Between the time of Defendant's conversion of the Plaintiff's funds and the filing of this action, Plaintiff expended time and money in pursuit of the converted property, all to the further damage of Plaintiff in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 120. As the direct and proximate result and cause of breach, Plaintiff has suffered special damages including (i) \$750,000 and (ii) interest on such sum in the amount of 10% from November 30, 2007, as well as other incidental and consequential expenses and losses, according to proof.
- The acts of misappropriation and conversion Defendants, were oppressive, fraudulent and malicious, committed with recklessness and in conscious disregard of the

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial as provided by Rule 38(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Dated: June 6, 2008

LAW OFFICES OF ERIC I. MICHELMAN

Attorney for Plaintiff
A WALL STREET FUND I, LTD.,
a Florida limited partnership

EXHIBIT "A"

Letter Dated April 11, 2008 from New World Capital Management, LLC

Dear Investors,

April 11, 2008

Trading losses have rendered New World Capital Currency Fund L.P. and New World Capital Equity Fund L.P. insolvent. No apology is adequate but we want you to know how profoundly sorry and deeply pained we are about this result. You entrusted your money to our investment strategy and it failed. Also, we want to apologize for not speaking with you directly and ask that you forgive the fact that our first communication is this letter. As you can imagine, recent events required our undivided attention.

The losses in the funds resulted from market conditions in certain currency pairs. Our investment strategy and strong belief in market direction collided with the volatility of the world economy and currency markets, leading to the funds' collapse. Managing the risks of such extraordinary events is always challenging. In hindsight, our investment strategy – like the strategies of many other funds - was insufficient.

Once again, let us tell you that there is no way to express how sorry we are for our lack of success for you. We are continuing our evaluation of the trading losses and intend to provide you with further information.

Sincerely,

New World Capital Management LLC

312 Read St.
Santa Fe; New
Mexico
87501
774125052989-3876

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

151880 -- TC * * C O P Y * * June 12, 2008 10:21:39

Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: 08CV1042

Judge..: NAPOLEON A JONES, JR

Amount.:

\$350.00 CK

Check#.: BC2339

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: A WALL STEET FUND LTD.

VS.

NEW WORLD CAPITOL CURRENCY

FUND

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)



by local rules of court. This fo the civil docket sheet. (SEE II	rm, approved by the Judicial Conference of NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE F	the United States in ORM.)	September 1974, is re	equired for the use of	f the Clerk of	Court for the pur	rpose of initiating.
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANT	s 🗀			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(b) County of Residence	d., a Florida limited partnership of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego		New World Ca Equity Fund, I Gregory Du County of Residence	apital Currency L.P. New Wor Lran and Do e of First Listed De	ld Capital) és 11-110 fendant	M aria gmen New M	orld Capital It, LLC, I ėxico-Sta
	:XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) c, Address, and Telephone Number)			CL(INTUS, BLAIN AND CONDEMNATIO ID INVOLVED.	on cases, us //		ON OF THE
	Offices of Eric I. Michelman, 23	01 Dupont	708		42	I JMA	
	92612; 949-553-1800	·	•				
II. BASIS OF JURISE	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only	•	TIZENSHIP OF For Diversity Cases Only	' PRINCIPAL 1	PARTIES(Place an "X" in O and One Box fo	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		an of This State	PTF DEF	orporated <i>or</i> Pri Business In This	ncipal Place	PTF DEF 4 4 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Ite 		en of Another State		orporated <i>and</i> P of Business In A		5
			en or Subject of a reign Country	□ 3 □ 3 For	eign Nation		O 6 O 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only))aaspubanbanaga	Dana dantar andra a	m // 20 /20 /20 /20 /20 /20 /20 /20 /20 /	Karanana	Salvania de la companya de la compa
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Product Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 340 Marine 345 Marine PERSONAL 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 341 Voting 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 346 PERSONAL 370 Other II 385 Proper Product Senten 443 Housing/ Accommodations 530 General 530 Ceneral 535 Death	AL INJURY 61 nal Injury - 62 Malpractice 62 nal Injury - 62 Malpractice 62 nal Injury - 64 Liability 63 Product 65 ity 66 PROPERTY Fraud 69 in Lending 69 in Lending 71 rty Damage 72 ct Liability 73 PETTERONS# 74 na to Vacate 79 nce 79 orpus: al Penalty 46 Rights 66 Right	O Agriculture O Agriculture O Cother Food & Drug Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 88 Liquor Laws C R. & Truck C Airline Regs. O Cocupational Safety/Health O Other C Agriculture C Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Mgmt. Relations C Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act C Railway Labor Act C Railway Labor Act C Cother Labor Litigation C Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act Naturalization Applicat Habeas Corpus Alien Detainee Other Immigration Actions	422 Appeal 28	USC 158 al 67 BIGHUS 8 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 8 6 7 8 7 8 8 8 8 8	□ 400 State Re □ 410 Antitrus □ 430 Banks at □ 450 Commet □ 470 Rackete □ 200 Consum □ 490 Cable/Si □ 810 Selectiv □ 875 Custom □ 12 USC □ 890 Other St □ 891 Agricult □ 892 Econom □ 893 Enviror □ 894 Energy □ 895 Freedon Act □ 900Appeal of	apportionment t nd Banking ree tion er Influenced and Organizations ter Credit at TV e Service es/Commodities/ ge er Challenge 34410 tatutory Actions tural Acts tic Stabilization Act mental Matters Allocation Act n of Information of Fee Determination of Fee Determination equal Access e
St 1 Original □ 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) temoved from	urt Reo	pened sand (sp	oner district pecify) ional statutes unles	6 Multidistr Litigation s diversity):	ict \square 7 $^{ m J}_{ m N}$	Appeal to District udge from Magistrate udgment
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS	ACTION D	EMAND \$		CK YES only	if demanded in	complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	750,00	0.00	JURY	Y DEMAND:	Ø Yes	□ No
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET N	UMBER		
DATE 06/10/2008 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	a & Mulling	IRE OF ATTORNEY	OF RECORD				
RECEIPT # 151880 /	AMOUNT \$350 APPLY	ING IFP	JUDGE	E	MAG. JUI	OGE	